IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,

Plaintiff.

V.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Case No.: 1:17-cv-02562

INTRODUCTION

- 1. In this action, the Center for Biological Diversity ("Center")—an environmental conservation organization that works to protect native wildlife species and their habitats—challenges the failure of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to provide records regarding EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt's "Directive Promoting Transparency and Public Participation in Consent Decrees and Settlement Agreements" ("Settlement Directive"), its failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records, and its failure to provide the Center with responsive records for which there are no applicable exemptions, in violation of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552, as amended ("FOIA" or "Act"), or alternatively, the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-06 ("APA").
- 2. On May 24, 2017 media outlets reported that Administrator Pruitt said he had signed a "directive" curtailing so-called "sue and settle" tactics that produce consent decrees including court-enforced deadlines for rulemaking. On June 29, 2017 the Congressional Committee on Energy and Commerce sent a letter to EPA and the Department of Justice ("DOJ") requesting briefing and written guidelines regarding Mr. Pruitt's "reported repudiation of the Obama administration's favored practice of 'sue and settle' agreements, which committed

the agency to undertake new rulemakings," following reports of a recent EPA reform directive.

On October 16, 2017, EPA put out a news release announcing that Administrator Pruitt had

"issued an Agency-wide directive designed to end 'sue and settle' practices within the Agency,"

and making publically available this Agency-wide directive and a memorandum.

- 3. In response to a FOIA request filed by the Center and dated May 25, 2017 ("Settlement Directive FOIA"), on June 28, 2017, EPA provided a final determination to the Center, in which the agency said that it had conducted a search and concluded that the directive was an oral directive and that no responsive records were located. The Center timely appealed this determination on July 5, 2017. EPA acknowledged receipt of the Center's appeal but, as of the filing of this lawsuit, the agency has not provided a determination on the appeal. The Center filed an additional FOIA request dated July 5, 2017 ("Settlement Directive Second FOIA"), seeking records as a result of a new search for records regarding Pruitt's directive. EPA acknowledged receipt of this request, but, as of the filing of this lawsuit, the agency has not provided any of the requested records or a final determination letter.
- 4. EPA's refusal to release records of Administrator Pruitt's Settlement Directive is contrary to FOIA and undermines FOIA's policy of government transparency.
- 5. EPA is unlawfully withholding responsive records by failing to conduct an adequate search for all records.
- 6. Prompt access to these records is necessary to effectuate FOIA's purpose, thus the Center seeks declaratory relief establishing that EPA has violated FOIA, or alternatively, the APA. The Center also seeks injunctive relief directing EPA to provide it with all responsive records and reasonably segregable portions of any lawfully exempt records without further delay.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under FOIA, or the APA, and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2202.
- 8. Venue properly vests in this Court pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B), which provides venue for FOIA cases in this district, because a portion of the responsive records may be found in this district.
 - 9. Declaratory relief is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 10. Injunctive relief is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 2202 and 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B).

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY is a national, non-profit conservation organization with offices throughout the United States. The Center has more than 61,000 members. The Center and its members are harmed by EPA's violations of FOIA, or alternatively the APA, as such violations preclude the Center from gaining a full understanding of the activities, decisions, priorities, and communications related to the EPA administrator's directive.
- 12. Defendant U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY is an independent agency of the executive branch of the U.S. government. EPA is in possession and control of the records that the Center seeks, and as such, is subject to FOIA pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(f). EPA is the federal agency responsible for applying and implementing the federal laws and regulations at issue in this complaint.

STATUTORY BACKGROUND

- 13. FOIA's basic purpose is for government transparency. It establishes the public's right to access all federal agency records unless such records may be withheld pursuant to one of nine, narrowly construed FOIA exemptions. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1)-(9).
- 14. FOIA imposes strict and rigorous deadlines on federal agencies when they receive requests for records pursuant to FOIA. Specifically, an agency must determine whether to disclose responsive records and notify the requester of its determination within 20 working days of receiving a FOIA request, and it must make records "promptly" available, unless it can establish that certain unusual circumstances are present and/or that it may lawfully withhold records, or portions thereof, from disclosure. *Id.* § 552(a)(3)(A), (a)(6). Also within 20 working days, the agency must inform the requester that it has a right to appeal the agency's determination. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- 15. FOIA places the burden on the agency to prove that it may withhold responsive records from a requester. *Id.* § 552(a)(4)(B).
- 16. Congress has specified limited circumstances in which federal agencies may obtain more time to make the determination that is required by 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- 17. First, an agency may toll the 20-working-day deadline to seek additional information or clarification from a requester, but that tolling period ends when the agency receives such information or clarification. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).
- 18. Second, an agency may extend the 20-working-day deadline for an additional 10 working days by giving a written notice to the requester that sets forth "unusual circumstances" to justify a deadline extension which also requires that it provide the date by which the agency expects to make the determination. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(B)(i). However, to invoke such "unusual

circumstances," the agency must provide the requester with "an opportunity to limit the scope of the request so that it may be processed within [20 working days] or an opportunity to arrange with the agency an alternative time frame for processing the request or a modified request." *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(B)(ii). In addition, when asserting unusual circumstances, the agency "shall make available its FOIA Public Liaison" to "assist in the resolution of any disputes between the requester and the agency." *Id.*

- 19. FOIA requires agencies to make a determination with respect to an appeal within 20 working days after the receipt of the appeal. *Id.* § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii). If on appeal the agency upholds the denial of the request for records in whole or in part, the agency "shall notify the person making such request of the provisions for judicial review of that determination." *Id.*
- 20. FOIA requires each agency to make reasonable efforts to search for records in a manner that is reasonably calculated to locate all records that are responsive to the FOIA request. *Id.* § 552(a)(3)(C)-(D). The cut-off date for the agency's search is the date that the agency conducts the search and not any other date.
- 21. FOIA requires federal agencies to expeditiously disclose requested records, see id.
 § 552, and mandates a policy of broad disclosure of government records. Any inquiry under
 FOIA brings with it a strong presumption in favor of disclosure.
- 22. Congress recognized that in certain, limited instances, records may be withheld as exempt from FOIA's broad disclosure mandate, and thus created nine categories of exemptions. *Id.* § 552(b). These exemptions, however, are narrowly construed in light of FOIA's dominant objective of disclosure, not secrecy.
- 23. FOIA provides that a request for records must be "reasonably described." *Id.* § 552(a)(3)(A)(i). EPA's regulations specify that a FOIA request "should reasonably describe the

records you are seeking in a way that will permit EPA employees to identify and locate them," and that "[w]henever possible, ... should include specific information about each record sought, such as the date, title or name, author, recipient, and subject matter." 40 C.F.R. §2.102(c). Courts have determined that a FOIA request reasonably describes the requested records so long as the agency's records custodian can locate the records.

- 24. The U.S. district courts have jurisdiction "to enjoin the agency from withholding agency records and to order the production of any agency records improperly withheld from the complainant." *Id.* § 552(a)(4)(B).
- 25. Alternatively, an agency's response to a FOIA request and/or a FOIA appeal is subject to judicial review under the APA, which confers a right of judicial review on any person who is adversely affected by agency action, 5 U.S.C. § 702, and authorizes district courts to compel agency action that is unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed. *Id.* § 706(1). District courts must set aside any agency action that is found to be "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion or otherwise not in accordance with law." *Id.* § 706(2)(A).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

EPA-HQ-2017-007766 (Settlement Directive FOIA Request)

26. On May 25, 2017 the Center submitted a FOIA request through EPA's online FOIA portal, FOIAOnline.regulations.gov. The Center requested the Settlement Directive signed by EPA Administrator Pruitt, as reported by media outlets.¹ In addition, the Center requested "all records mentioning, including, and/or referencing" the Settlement Directive signed by Mr. Pruitt. The Center further specified that "all records" refers to, but is not limited to, "any

¹ See generally David LaRoss & Doug Obey, Pruitt Moves to Curtail EPA Use of 'Sue and Settle' As GOP Pushes Bills (2017), https://insideepa.com/daily-news/pruitt-moves-curtail-epa-use-sue-and-settle-gop-pushes-bills, (last visited November 1, 2017).

and all documents, correspondence (including, but not limited to, inter and/or intra-agency correspondence as well as correspondence with entities or individuals outside the federal government), emails, letters, notes, telephone records, telephone notes, minutes, memoranda, comments files, presentations, consultations, biological opinions, assessments, evaluations, schedules, telephone logs, papers published and/or unpublished, studies, photographs and other images, data (including raw data, DPS or GIS data, UTM, LiDAR, etc.), maps and/or all other responsive records, in draft or final form."

- 27. The same day, May 25, 2017, EPA acknowledged the Center's request and assigned it tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-007766 ("Settlement Directive FOIA Request").
- 28. On May 31, 2017, EPA sent an email and automated FOIAOnline notice granting the Center's fee waiver request.
- 29. On June 26, 2017, the Center sent a letter to EPA, notifying the agency that it had violated FOIA's statutory determination deadline, requesting an estimated date of completion of action on the FOIA request, and offering to assist the agency in its response.
- 30. On June 28, 2017, EPA provided a final determination to the Center, in which the agency said that it "conducted a search and concluded this was an oral directive; no responsive records were located."
- 31. On June 29, 2017, the Congressional Committee on Energy and Commerce sent a letter to EPA and DOJ requesting briefing and written guidelines regarding Mr. Pruitt's "reported repudiation of the Obama administration's favored practice of 'sue and settle' agreements, which committed the agency to undertake new rulemakings," following reports of the EPA's Settlement Directive.

EPA-HQ-2017-009090 ("Settlement Directive Appeal")

- 32. On July 5, the Center appealed EPA's determination that it had "no records" that were responsive to its Settlement Directive FOIA Request, its failure to conduct an adequate search for responsive records, and failure to provide the Center with responsive records for which there are no applicable FOIA exemptions. The Center explained that it sought two categories of records in its FOIA request, the first being Mr. Pruitt's Settlement Directive, and the second category being all records that mention, include, or reference the Settlement Directive that Mr. Pruitt had authorized. As the Center explained, it was highly unlikely that there were no records that mention or reference Mr. Pruitt's directive, especially in light of the Congressional investigation into this directive.
- 33. EPA acknowledged the Center's appeal on July 5, 2017, and assigned the appeal EPA tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-009090 ("Settlement Directive Appeal"). On July 6, 2017, EPA sent a short letter confirming receipt of the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal.
- 34. A determination the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal was due on August 2,2017
- 35. As of the initiation of this lawsuit on November 29, 2017, over four months since EPA acknowledged the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal, the Center has received no determination on its appeal, no records or additional communications from EPA, or an estimate of the date of the agency's determination of the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal.

EPA-HQ-2017-009095 (Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request)

36. On July 5, 2017, the Center submitted a FOIA request through EPA's online FOIA portal, FOIAOnline.regulations.gov, as a follow-up to the previous Settlement Directive FOIA Request, with the search cut-off dates for the new request to be from May 25, 2017 to the

date of the agency's search for responsive records. The Center requested the Settlement Directive signed by Administrator Pruitt, as reported by media outlets. In addition, the Center requested "all records mentioning, including, and/or referencing the Settlement Directive signed by Mr. Pruitt.

- 37. EPA acknowledged the request the same day it was sent, July 5, 2017, and assigned it tracking number EPA-HQ-2017-009095 ("Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request").
- 38. On July 13, 2017, EPA sent an email and automated FOIAOnline notice granting the Center's fee waiver request.
- 39. On October 16, 2017, EPA published a press release announcing that Administrator Pruitt had "issued an Agency-wide directive designed to end 'sue and settle' practices within the Agency," and made public the agency-wide directive and memorandum.
 - 40. A determination on this request was due on August 2, 2017.
- 41. As of the initiation of this lawsuit on November 29, 2017, over four months since the request was submitted and acknowledged, the Center had received no records or additional communications from EPA, or an estimated date of completion of the agency's determination on the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request.
- 42. In connection with the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, EPA did not (1) request additional information from the Center, or (2) notify the Center of any "unusual circumstances" that prevent it from complying with FOIA's deadline for a determination. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)-(B).

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

EPA Missed FOIA's Mandatory Determination Deadline for the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, Number EPA-HQ-2017-009095

- 43. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 44. The Center has a statutory right to a lawful final determination from EPA on its Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, number EPA-HQ-2017-009095, in a manner that complies with FOIA. EPA has violated the Center's rights in this regard by unlawfully delaying its response beyond the deadline that FOIA mandates. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i).
- 45. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to EPA in the foreseeable future.
- 46. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if EPA is allowed to continue violating FOIA's decision deadlines as it has in this case.
- 47. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, EPA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

EPA Missed FOIA's Mandatory Determination Deadline for the Center's Appeal of the Settlement Directive FOIA Request, Number EPA-HQ-2017-009090

- 48. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 49. The Center has a statutory right to a lawful determination on its appeal of EPA's final determination on its Sue and Settle Directive FOIA Request, number EPA-HQ-2017-009090, in a manner that complies with FOIA. EPA has violated the Center's rights in this

regard by unlawfully delaying its response beyond the deadline that FOIA mandates. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(ii).

- 50. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to EPA in the foreseeable future.
- 51. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if EPA is allowed to continue violating FOIA's decision deadlines as it has in this case.
- 52. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, EPA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

EPA Failed to Conduct Adequate Searches for Records that are Responsive to the Center's

Settlement Directive FOIA Requests

- 53. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 54. The Center has a statutory right to have EPA process its FOIA requests in a manner that complies with FOIA. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3). EPA violated the Center's rights in this regard when it unlawfully failed to conduct an adequate search that was reasonably calculated to locate all records that are responsive to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095).
- 55. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to EPA in the foreseeable future.
- 56. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if EPA continues to violate FOIA's requirement to undertake a search that is reasonably calculated to locate records that are responsive to the Center's FOIA request.

57. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, EPA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

EPA Failed to Disclose All Records that are Responsive to the Center's FOIA Requests

- 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 59. The Center has a statutory right to the records it seeks, and there is no legal basis for EPA to assert any of FOIA's nine exemptions to mandatory disclosure apply to withhold records from the Center. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1-9). EPA has violated the Center's rights in this regard by withholding records that are responsive to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA request (EPA-HQ-2017-007766) and Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095).
- 60. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to EPA in the foreseeable future.
- 61. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if EPA continues to violate FOIA's disclosure provisions as they have in this case.
- 62. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, EPA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

EPA Failed to Provide Reasonably Segregable Portions of Any Lawfully Exempt Records that are Responsive to the Center's FOIA Requests

63. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.

- 64. The Center has a statutory right to any reasonably segregable portion of a record that contains information that is subject to any of FOIA's exemptions. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b).
- 65. EPA violated the Center's rights in this regard by unlawfully withholding reasonably segregable portions of any lawfully exempt records that are responsive to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA request (EPA-HQ-2017-007766) and Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095).
- 66. Based on the nature of the Center's organizational activities, it will undoubtedly continue to employ FOIA's provisions in record requests to EPA in the foreseeable future.
- 67. The Center's organizational activities will be adversely affected if EPA is allowed to continue violating FOIA's disclosure provisions as it has in this case.
- 68. Unless enjoined and made subject to a declaration of the Center's legal rights by this Court, EPA will continue to violate the Center's rights to receive public records under FOIA.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT (In the Alternative to the First through Fifth Claims)

EPA Unlawfully Withheld or Unreasonably Delayed Actions That FOIA Requires

- 69. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 70. EPA unlawfully withheld agency action by failing to comply with the mandates of FOIA consequent to its failure and refusal to (1) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal, number EPA-HQ-2017-009090; (2) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, number EPA-HQ-2017-009095; (3) conduct a search that is reasonably calculated to locate all responsive records to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-007766) and Second

Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095); (4) provide the Center with records that are responsive to its FOIA requests, which are not within the scope of any of FOIA's exemptions to mandatory disclosure; and (5) provide the Center with all reasonably segregable portions of responsive records to both of these FOIA requests, in the event that records may be subject to an exemption. EPA's failures constitute agency actions that are unlawfully withheld, and therefore, these actions are actionable pursuant to the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).

- Alternatively, EPA unreasonably delayed agency action by failing to comply with the mandates of FOIA consequent to its failure and refusal to: (1) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal, number EPA-HQ-2017-009090; (2) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, number EPA-HQ-2017-009095; (3) conduct a search that is reasonably calculated to locate all responsive records to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-007766) and Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095); (4) provide the Center with records that are responsive to its FOIA requests, which are not within the scope of any of FOIA's exemptions to mandatory disclosure; and (5) provide the Center with all reasonably segregable portions of responsive records to both of these FOIA requests, in the event that records may be subject to an exemption. EPA's failures constitute agency action unreasonably delayed and therefore actionable pursuant to the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1).
- 72. As alleged above, EPA's failure to comply with the mandates of FOIA has injured the Center's interests in public oversight of governmental operations and is in violation of its statutory duties under the APA.

- 73. The Center has suffered a legal wrong as a result of EPA's failure to comply with the mandates of FOIA. As alleged above, EPA violated its statutory duties under the APA and injured the Center's interests in public oversight of governmental operations.
- 74. The Center has no other adequate remedy at law to redress the violations noted above.
 - 75. Plaintiff is entitled to judicial review under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 702.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIOLATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT (In the Alternative to the First through Sixth Claims)

EPA's Violations of FOIA's Requirements Are Arbitrary, Capricious, an Abuse of Discretion, or Otherwise Not in Accordance with Law

- 76. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations made in all preceding paragraphs.
- 77. EPA violated FOIA's statutory mandates due to its failure and refusal to (1) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Settlement Directive Appeal, number EPA-HQ-2017-009090; (2) make a timely and lawful determination on the Center's Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request, number EPA-HQ-2017-009095; (3) conduct a search that is reasonably calculated to locate all responsive records to the Center's Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-007766) and Second Settlement Directive FOIA Request (EPA-HQ-2017-009095); (4) provide the Center with records that are responsive to its FOIA requests, which are not within the scope of any of FOIA's exemptions to mandatory disclosure; and (5) provide the Center with all reasonably segregable portions of responsive records to both of these FOIA requests, in the event that records may be subject to an exemption. By repeatedly violating FOIA's statutory mandates, EPA's actions are arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of

discretion, or not in accordance with the law and therefore actionable pursuant to the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

- 78. As alleged above, EPA's repeated failure to comply with the mandates of FOIA has injured the Center's interests in public oversight of governmental operations and is in violation of the agency's statutory duties under the APA.
- 79. The Center has suffered a legal wrong as a result of EPA's failure to comply with the mandates of FOIA. As alleged above, EPA violated its statutory duties under the APA and injured the Center's interests in public oversight of governmental operations.
- 80. The Center has no other adequate remedy at law to redress the violations noted above.
 - 81. The Center is entitled to judicial review under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 702.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- 1. Order Defendant to conduct searches that are reasonably calculated to locate all records responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA requests, numbers EPA-HQ-2017-007766 and EPA-HQ-2017-009095, with the cut-off date for such searches being the date the searches are conducted, and to provide Plaintiff, by a date certain, with all responsive records and reasonably segregable portions of lawfully exempt records sought in this action.
- 2. Declare that Defendant's failure to timely make a determination on Plaintiff's FOIA requests and appeal is unlawful under FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and (ii), or in the alternative, is agency action that has been unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1), or is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or not in accordance with law, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

Case 1:17-cv-02562 Document 1 Filed 11/29/17 Page 17 of 18

3. Declare that Defendant's failures to conduct searches that are reasonably

calculated to locate all responsive records and to disclose to Plaintiff all records that are

responsive to Plaintiff's FOIA Requests, as alleged above, are unlawful under FOIA, U.S.C. §

552(a)(6)(A)(i), or in the alternative, are agency action that has been unlawfully withheld or

unreasonably delayed, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1), or are arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or

not in accordance with law, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

4. Declare that Defendant's failure to provide Plaintiff with reasonably segregable

portions of records which may be lawfully subject to a FOIA exemption, as alleged above, is

unlawful under FOIA, U.S.C. § 552(a)(7)(b), or in the alternative, is agency action that has been

unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed, 5 U.S.C. § 706(1), or is arbitrary, capricious, an

abuse of discretion, or not in accordance with law, 5 U.S.C. § 706(2).

5. Award Plaintiff its costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 5 U.S.C. §

552(a)(4)(E) or 28 U.S.C. § 2412.

6. Grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: November 29, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jennifer L. Loda

Jennifer L. Loda (CA Bar No. 284889)*

Center for Biological Diversity

1212 Broadway, Suite 800

Oakland, CA 94612-1810

Tel: (510) 844-7136

Fax: (510) 844-7150

 $1^{1}ax. (310) 644-7130$

iloda@biologicaldiversity.org

/s/

Margaret E. Townsend (DC Bar No. OR0008)

Center for Biological Diversity

P.O. Box 11374

17

Portland, OR 97211-0374 (971) 717-6409 mtownsend@biologicaldiversity.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff

*Seeking admission pro hac vice

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 (Rev. 7/13 DC)						and the same of th	·	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDAN	TS					
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		U.S. Enviro	nment	al Pro	tection	n Agency		
								.
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Pima C	ounty	COUNTY OF	RESIDEN	ICE OF I	IRST LIS	STED DEFENDANT Was	shington	Ct
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE IN LA	MD COMBE	MUSTERIAN CITY CITY	ASES, USE	TIFF CASES ONLY) THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF	LAND INVOLV	(ter)
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF	FKNOW	N)				
Margaret Townsend, Center for Biological Diversity	1							
P.O. Box 11374								
Portland OR 97211-0374								
(971) 717-6409								
							-	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)						ES (PLACE AN x IN ONE IVERSITY CASES ONLY)		
	TEMBATRI		PTF	DFT	, ION O	IN ENGITE CAGOS ONLI.	PTF	DFT
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a-Party)	Citizen of t	his Crass	O i	O i	Images	orated or Principal Place	O 4	O 4
riamin (0.5. Government Not as any)	Chuzen of t	mis State	•			iness in This State	•	•
O 2 U.S. Government O 4 Diversity	Citizen of	Another State	O 2	O 2			0.5	Λ.
Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of	CHIZEII OI /	Another State	W 2	W 2		orated and Principal of Business in Another St	O 5	O 5
Parties in item III)	Citizen or S	Subject of a	O 3	O 3	Place o	of Business in Another St	_	_
	Foreign Co		O 3		Foreigi	n Nation	O 6	O 6
	~ * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				(20)			
IV. CASE ASSI							Sulfax	
(Place an X in one category, A-N, that best repre	_			,	a corre			
O A. Antitrust O B. Personal Injury/	0 6	C. Administra	ative A	gency		O D. Temporar		
Malpractice	Ì	Review				Order/Prel		
410 Antitrust 310 Airplane	1	51 Medicare Act	t			Injunction		
315 Airplane Product Liability		or medicale me	•			A		
320 Assault, Libel & Slander		Security				Any nature of suit from may be selected for the		
330 Federal Employers Liability		61 HIA (1395ff)				assignment.		,
340 Marine		62 Black Lung (! 63 DIWC/DIWV				delikir aata		
345 Marine Product Liability		64 SSID Title XV		,,,,		*(If Antitrust, then A	governs	
350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability		65 RSI (405(g))	•					
360 Other Personal Injury	Other	Statutes						
362 Medical Malpractice		91 Agricultural						
365 Product Liability	-	93 Environment						
367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical		90 Other Statute	•	*				
Personal Injury Product Liabili	ty	Administrativ Involved)	ve Agen	cy is				
368 Asbestos Product Liability		invoived,			ļ			
O E. General Civil (Other) OR		F. Pro Se	e Gene	ral Civ	il			
Real Property Bankruptcy		Forfeiture/F					· * .	
210 Land Condemnation 422 Appeal 27 USC 158		1	ug Rela			480 Consumer (
220 Foreclosure 423 Withdrawal 28 US	C 157	l	operty 2	LUSC	381	850 Securities/C		ies/
230 Rent, Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land Prisoner Petitions		690 Ot	ner			Exchange	ommouni	ics/
245 Tort Product Liability 535 Death Penalty		1				896 Arbitration		
290 All Other Real Property 540 Mandamus & Other	er	Other Statu				899 Administra	tive Proce	dure
550 Civil Rights		Lane	lse Clair			Act/Review		lof
Personal Property 555 Prison Conditions	3275		ate Rea _l inks & B		iment	Agency Dec		
370 Other Fraud 560 Civil Detainee - Co	onaitions	-	mks & B			950 Constitution	rality of S	tate
371 Truth in Lending of Confinement 380 Other Personal Property			ntes/etc.			Statutes Statutes	Animaria - 47	
Damage Property Rights		1	portatio	n		890 Other Statu (if not admi		
385 Property Damage 820 Copyrights			turaliza			review or P		
Product Liability 830 Patent		•	plicatio				3	,
840 Trademark		465 Ot		nigratio	n			
Federal Tax Suits			tions	Y	a dal			
870 Taxes (US plaintiff	for	-	Comme	Influen Organ				
defendant)			Corrupi	Oigan	Zarion			

Case 1:17-cv-02562 Document 1-1 Filed 11/29/17 Page 2 of 2

O G. Habeas Corpus/ 2255	O H. Employment Discrimination	⊙ I. FOIA/Privacy Act	O J. Student Loan
530 Habeas Corpus – General 510 Motion/Vacate Sentence 463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee	442 Civil Rights – Employment (criteria: race, gender/sex, national origin, discrimination, disability, age, religion, retaliation)	X 895 Freedom of Information Act 890 Other Statutory Actions (if Privacy Act)	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (excluding veterans)
	(If pro se, select this deck)	*(If pro se, select this deck)*	
O K. Labor/ERISA (non-employment) 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 740 Labor Railway Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	L. Other Civil Rights (non-employment) 441 Voting (if not Voting Rights Act) 443 Housing/Accommodations 440 Other Civil Rights 445 Americans w/Disabilities – Employment 446 Americans w/Disabilities – Other 448 Education	M. Contract 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholder's Suits 190 Other Contracts 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	N. Three-Judge Court 441 Civil Rights – Voting (if Voting Rights Act)
V. ORIGIN			
O 1 Original O 2 Removed Proceeding from State Court	3 Remanded from Appellate Court Reopened		di-district
•	e u.s. civil statute under which u.s.C. § 552. Failure to comply wit		EF STATEMENT OF CAUSE.)
	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JUI		ES only if demanded in complaint NO
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY	(See instruction) YES	NO X If yes, pl	lease complete related case form
DATE:11/29/2017	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REC	cord Whayate much	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET JS-44 Authority for Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and services of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. Listed below are tips for completing the civil cover sheet. These tips coincide with the Roman Numerals on the cover sheet.

- I. COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF/DEFENDANT (b) County of residence: Use 11001 to indicate plaintiff if resident of Washington, DC, 88888 if plaintiff is resident of United States but not Washington, DC, and 99999 if plaintiff is outside the United States.
- III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES This section is completed only if diversity of citizenship was selected as the Basis of Jurisdiction under Section II.
- IV. CASE ASSIGNMENT AND NATURE OF SUIT. The assignment of a judge to your case will depend on the category you select that best represents the <u>primary</u> cause of action found in your complaint. You may select only <u>one</u> category. You <u>must</u> also select <u>one</u> corresponding nature of suit found under the category of the case.
- VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of the primary cause.
- VIII. RELATED CASE(S), IF ANY: If you indicated that there is a related case, you must complete a related case form, which may be obtained from the Clerk's Office.

Because of the need for accurate and complete information, you should ensure the accuracy of the information provided prior to signing the form.

FOIA SummRQs $1/1_{\top}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CENTE	R FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY)
	Plaintiff)
HC ENVE	v. RONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY) Civil Action No. 17-cv-02562
U.S. ENVIR	Defendant)
	Dejendani	,
	SUMMO	NS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (De		mental Protection Agency /Ivania Avenue, N.W. DC 20460
A 1	awsuit has been filed against you.	
serve on th	ne plaintiff an answer to the attached of a court of the answer or motion must be	mons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of e served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and
address are	Margaret E. Townsend Center for Biological Diversity P.O. Box 11374 Portland, OR 97211-0374	
	you fail to respond, judgment by defar You also must file your answer or n	ult may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the notion with the court.
		ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

)2,\$\frac{1}{6}\$XPPRQV^\(\text{L} \graph \Pi \mathbb{T} \mathbb{T

&LYLO^L \$FWLRQ^L 117R-4v-02562

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

7KLV L VXPPRQV L	Rune of individual and title, if a	ny)		
V UHFHLYHG E E PANGE	RQ	4		
u . ^L SHUVRODOO\	L VHUYHG'L WKH'L VXPPROV	/ RQ WHALLOGLYLGXDOL	DW	
, , ,		RQ (date)		L RU
u , L OHIW L WKH L	VXPPRQV L DWL WKH L LQ	GLYLGXDO∂V ^L UHV LGINQ FHDE	RIFT AXIMIO SODEH	
	\$	L DE SHUVRQE RIE VXLWDE	OHL DJHL DQGL GLVF	UHWLRQ ^L ZKR ^L UH
RQ (date)	∯ L DQGL PDI	OHGL DL FRS/ LWR LWKHL	LQGLYLGXDO∂V ^L ODV	W ^L NQRZQ ^L DGGLH
u , ^L VHUYHG ^L WK	H ^L VXPPRQVme BQndividual)			Ů L ZKR L LV
L CHVLJQDWHGL EV	LODZ LWR LDFFHSWLVH	JYLFH RI SUREHVVrganQa	ti bl jKDOI ^L RI ^L	
		RQ (date)		L RU
0/ IHHV DUH	IRU ^L V	VDYHOL DQGL •	IRU! VHUYLEHN	O.ORUL DI WRY
	TIL SEMIYAYA LIDILL SEIDAYA	U\ ^L WKDW ^L WKIW ^L LQIRUPD	WIROLIV! WIX	
, GIGGGI MA	C SERVIN IN SERVE	O. MICH MININ DELLOID	WILLY DV VICE	
·				
		Server	's signature	
		Printed r	name and title	
		Serve	r's address	

 $SGGDWLRQDO^{\perp}$ LQIRUPDWLRQ^{\perp} UHJDUGLQJ^{\perp} DWWHPSWHG^{\perp} VHUYLFH\(\text{\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\$}} \) HWF

FOIA SummRQs $1/1_{\top}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CENTER FOR E	BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY)	
	Plaintiff		
v. U.S. ENVIRONMEN	ITAL PROTECTION AGEN	ICY)	Civil Action No. 17-cv-02562
	Defendant)	
	SUM	MONS IN A CIV	VIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's	U.S. De 950 Per	/ General partment of Justice nnsylvania Avenue, gton, DC 20530-000	NVV
A lawsuit h	nas been filed against you	1.	
serve on the plaint	iff an answer to the attac	hed complaint or a est be served on the ersity	(not counting the day you received it) you must a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of e plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and
	o respond, judgment by so must file your answer	· ·	tered against you for the relief demanded in the ae court.
		A	ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		_	
			Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

)2,\$\frac{1}{6}\$XPPRQV^\(\text{L} \graph \Pi \mathbb{T} \mathbb{T

&LYLO^L \$FWLRQ^L 117R-4v-02562

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

u ^L SHLVRODOO\ ^L VH				
,	JUYHG L WKH L VXPPRQV L RQ L WKHI ka	cŁQGLYLGXDO ^L DV	V	
		RQ (date)	^L RU	
u , ^L OHIW ^L WKH ^L VXF	PPRQV¹ DW¹ WKH¹ LQGLYLGXDO∂V		######################################	
	♡ L DL SHUVRC	L RIL VXLWDBOH	I ^L DJH ^L DQG ^L GLVFUHWLRQ ^L	ZKR L
RQ (date)	□ □ DQG ^L PDLOHG ^L D ^L FRS	S/ L WKH LC	QGLYLGXDO∂V ^L ODWW ^L NQRZI	Q ^L DGG
u , L VHOYHG L WKH L V	XPPROvine BQndividual)		∯ l	ZKRL
L CHNFTOMHOR EVE C	OZ L WR L DFFHSWL VHUYLFHL RILL	SLREHYVrg&QatiE	HKDOI L RI L	
		RQ (date)	L RU	
0/	IRU ^L WUDYHO ^L DQG ^L		IRUL VHUYLFHVA 6.00RU	L DL M
	SHQDOW\ L RI L SHUMXU\ L WKDWL W	KKW ^L LQIRUPDWL	RQL LVL WUX	
, diam adio .				
, directi Ague .				
, directi Agio		Server's s	ignature	
, GROWI AGIO .		Server's s Printed nam		

 $SGGDWLRQDO^{\perp}$ LQIRUPDWLRQ^{\perp} UHJDUGLQJ^{\perp} DWWHPSWHG^{\perp} VHUYLFH\(\text{\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\$}} \) HWF

FOIA SummRQs $1/1_{\top}$

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CENTER	FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY)
	Plaintiff	
LLC ENVIDO	V.) Civil Action No. 17-cv-02562
U.S. ENVIRO	DIMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Defendant	.)
	Dejendani)
	SUMMO	ONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defer	u.S. Attorne c/o Civil Pro 555 4th Stre Washington	ocess Clerk
A lav	vsuit has been filed against you.	
serve on the	plaintiff an answer to the attached ure. The answer or motion must b Margaret E. Townsend Center for Biological Diversi P.O. Box 11374	amons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of the served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and ty
	Portland, OR 97211-0374 u fail to respond, judgment by defa You also must file your answer or	ault may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the motion with the court. ANGELA D. CAESAR, CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

)2,\$\frac{1}{6}\$XPPRQV^\(\text{L} \graph \Pi \mathbb{T} \mathbb{T

&LYLO^L \$FWLRQ^L 117R-4v-02562

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

7KLV L VXPPRQV L	Rune of individual and title, if a	ny)		
V UHFHLYHG E E PANGE	RQ	4		
u . ^L SHUVRODOO\	L VHUYHG'L WKH'L VXPPRON	/ RQ WKH rackQGLYLGXDOL	DW	
, , ,		RQ (date)		L RU
u , L OHIW L WKH L	VXPPRQV L DWL WKH L LQ	GLYLGXDO∂V ^L UHV LGINQ FHDE	RIFT AXIMIO SODEH	
	\$	L DE SHUVRQE RIE VXLWDE	OHL DJHL DQGL GLVF	UHWLRQ ^L ZKR ^L UH
RQ (date)	∯ L DQGL PDI	OHGL DL FRS/ LWR LWKHL	LQGLYLGXDO∂V ^L ODV	W ^L NQRZQ ^L DGGLH
u , ^L VHUYHG ^L WK	H ^L VXPPRQVme BQndividual)			Ů L ZKR L LV
L CHVLJQDWHGL EV	LODZ LWR LDFFHSWLVH	JYLFH RI SUREHVVrganQa	ti bl jKDOI ^L RI ^L	
		RQ (date)		L RU
0/ IHHV DUH	IRU ^L V	VDYHOL DQGL •	IRU! VHUYLEHN	O.ORUL DI WRY
	TIL SEMIYAYA LIDILL SEIDAYA	U\ ^L WKDW ^L WKIW ^L LQIRUPD	WIROLIV! WIX	
, GIGGGI MA	C SERVIN IN SERVE	O. MICH MININ DELLOID	WILLY DV VICE	
·				
		Server	's signature	
		Printed r	name and title	
		Serve	r's address	

 $SGGDWLRQDO^{\perp}$ LQIRUPDWLRQ^{\perp} UHJDUGLQJ^{\perp} DWWHPSWHG^{\perp} VHUYLFH\(\text{\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$}}\$}} \) HWF